

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/759,248	HIGAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Denise Tran	2185	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Denise Tran.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Carl Brundidge (Reg. No. 29,621).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 November 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, 21, and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Brundidge, Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims as stated in the Examiner's amendment to clarify the claimed languages.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)